



STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
LANSING

JENNIFER M. GRANHOLM
GOVERNOR

PATRICIA L. CARUSO
DIRECTOR

DATE: March 11, 2005

TO: Senate Judiciary Committee Members
House Judiciary Committee Members
Senate Judiciary and Corrections Appropriations Subcommittee Members
House Corrections Appropriations Subcommittee Members

FROM: Heidi Washington
Administrative Assistant

SUBJECT: Legislative Report on the Special Alternative Incarceration Program

Pursuant to the requirements of MCL 791.234a(9), the Department of Corrections has completed its 2004 Annual Report on the operation of the Special Alternative Incarceration (boot camp) program. This report can be viewed at www.michigan.gov/corrections.

c: Joan Yukins, FOA
Jacques McNeely, DMB
Bethany Wicksall, SFA
Marilyn Peterson, HFA

CORRECTIONAL FACILITIES ADMINISTRATION

SPECIAL ALTERNATIVE INCARCERATION

ANNUAL REPORT



-2004-

Patricia L. Caruso, Director

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

- 636 male prisoners from 72 counties, and 86 female prisoners from 33 counties were enrolled in the program. 911 male probationers from 52 counties, and 32 female probationers from 15 counties were enrolled in the program. See pages 11 through 14.

- 24.8% of the male prisoners, 24.4% of the female prisoners, 27.7% of the male probationers, and 12.9% of the female probationers enrolled in the program were serving for drug related offenses. See pages 15 and 16 for a list of the offenses of which program participants were convicted.

- 503 male prisoners, 75 female prisoners, 727 male probationers, and 28 female probationers successfully completed the program. The successful completion rates were 88.7% for male prisoners, 97.4% for female prisoners, 87.9% for male probationers, and 96.6% for female probationers. See pages 11 through 14 for complete program results.

- 90.9% of the male prisoners, and 89.3% of the female prisoners, who graduated from the program in 2004 are currently on parole or have discharged from parole. 89.3% of the male probationers, and 85.7% of the female probationers, who graduated from the program in 2004 have either discharged from their sentence or are adjusting satisfactorily on probation. See pages 11 through 14 for the current status of all graduates.

- The program is cost effective as compared to prison. See page 9.

- 57.4% of offenders who completed the battery of GED tests, earned their GED Certificates while enrolled in the program. See page 9.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
SAI Program Facts and Photos.	1-5
History of the SAI Program	6
Statutory Authority and Eligibility.	7-8
Program Cost Effectiveness	9
Evening Educational and Self-Help Programming.	9-10
Summary of 2004 Male Probationer Program Statistics.	11
Summary of 2004 Female Probationer Program Statistics.	12
Summary of 2004 Male Prisoner Program Statistics.	13
Summary of 2004 Female Prisoner Program Statistics.	14
Probationer Admissions by Offense Type	15
Prisoner Admissions by Offense Type	16

APPENDICES A-M

A.	2004 Admissions by County - Probationer
B.	2004 Admissions by County - Prisoner
C.	2004 Admissions by Month
D.	2004 Admissions by Sex
E.	2004 Monthly Population Totals
F.	2004 Program Outcomes by County - Probationer
G.	2004 Program Outcomes by County - Prisoner
H.	2004 Monthly Program Outcomes - Prisoner
I.	2004 Monthly Program Outcomes - Probationer
J.	2004 Graduates by Sex
K.	Comparative Program Outcomes - 2004 and 2003
L.	Current Status of Prisoner Program Graduates
M.	Comparative Status of Probationer Graduates - 2004 and 2003

SPECIAL ALTERNATIVE INCARCERATION (SAI) PROGRAM FACTS AND PHOTOS

1. **What is SAI?** SAI is a program for felony offenders who satisfy statutory eligibility criteria. It is designed to provide Michigan's felony sentencing courts and the Department of Corrections an alternative to prison in the management of qualified offenders.
2. **What are the goals of the SAI Program?** The program has two primary goals. First, it promotes public safety through risk management in the selection of program participants and supervision strategies which gradually reintegrate offenders back into the community. Second, the program provides participants the opportunity to change their anti-social attitudes, criminal lifestyles, and prepare themselves for re-entry into the community as productive, law-abiding citizens.
3. **How does the SAI Program accomplish its goals?** The SAI Program accomplishes its goals by achieving the following objectives:
 - (1) It strips from participants their pride in socially unacceptable behavior through the use of techniques adapted from the military;
 - (2) It teaches a principle-based value system from which participants gain direction;
 - (3) It assists participants in improving their ability to successfully re-enter the community through achievements in programming, physical conditioning, work programs, personal and social development;
 - (4) It assists participants in learning self-discipline through immediate and complete compliance with program rules and orders issued by staff;
 - (5) It assists participants in achieving a sense of personal responsibility by holding them accountable for their behavior and by requiring them to help other participants in the program;
 - (6) It teaches participants a positive work ethic by requiring them to work in programs which benefit the community and provide a sense of personal accomplishment;
 - (7) It teaches participants how to prepare a resume and how to present themselves when applying for a job;
 - (8) At graduation parolees/probationers are reunited with families and are required to go through 120 days of intensive supervision to ensure they are introduced to needed community services/resources;

4. **What is “Special Alternative Incarceration”?** It is a 90 day (military type) school that consists of work, educational programming leading to the General Educational Development (GED) certificate, substance abuse education, with courses in anger management, life coping skills, and job seeking skills.
5. **What happens to participants after graduation?** Following program completion most parolees/probationers are placed directly on parole or probation with the first 120 days served under intensive supervision. Those who do not have appropriate housing placement will be placed in a residential aftercare facility until appropriate placement can be arranged.
6. **Where is the SAI Program?** The program is located at Camp Cassidy Lake, a 360 bed facility operated by the Michigan Department of Corrections which is approximately three miles north of the Village of Chelsea, midway between Ann Arbor and Jackson. The facility is staffed by 129 employees

During intake, the false pride many offenders take in their past criminal behavior is stripped away from them. Here they become trainees and staff begin introducing them to socially acceptable behavior.



As part of the process of developing a healthy lifestyle and improving their self esteem and physical stamina, offenders participate in a daily motivational run, which is led by staff. Group activities such as physical conditioning also assist in creating an *esprit de corp* among trainees.



The SAI Program teaches trainees good work habits and a positive attitude toward work by involving them in meaningful in-camp work assignments and in public works projects in the community. The first in-camp assignment on which offenders are placed is cutting wood which is used to heat housing units and other buildings at the Cassidy Lake facility. Public works activities, such as maintaining public recreational areas and working in a local recycling facility, provide a valuable public service and enable trainees to experience the satisfaction which results from completing meaningful work assignments.



Trainees eat three nutritious meals daily and receive an evening snack to enable them to meet the mental and physical demands of the program.



Trainees learn self-discipline and teamwork by maintaining their living areas according to exacting standards. Inspections are conducted daily.



The 90 day program is voluntary. Probationers who are terminated as voluntary withdrawals or rule violators are returned to their sentencing county and face the possibility of going to prison. Prisoners are returned to a prison facility to serve the remainder of their sentence.



At the completion of the 90 day program, graduates are acknowledged by staff. Family members come to the facility to observe graduation and be united with their graduate.

THE HISTORY OF THE SPECIAL ALTERNATIVE INCARCERATION (SAI) PROGRAM IN MICHIGAN

Michigan's Special Alternative Incarceration (SAI) Program was inspired by and patterned after a combination of Military Officers Candidate School and Military Recruit Training. In 1988, Senators Jack Welborn, Nick Smith and, James Barcia, with the support of other bi-partisan legislators, sponsored legislation to establish the SAI Program as an alternative to prison. Existing laws were amended to allow judges to sentence probationers to SAI as a condition of probation and to establish criteria for participation in the program.

In March, 1988, Camp Sauble, a minimum security prison camp for males located in the northwestern part of Michigan's Lower Peninsula, was designated as the first SAI camp. It had a capacity of 120 beds. The program operated at this capacity until 1991 and, because of its popularity, developed a large waiting list of potential candidates.

The large waiting list, together with legislative acceptance of the program as a viable alternative to prison, resulted in the introduction of legislation to expand eligibility. In the spring of 1992, legislation expanding eligibility criteria to include male prisoners and female probationers and prisoners was enacted.

In anticipation of the passage of this legislation, in June, 1991, the Cassidy Lake Technical School, a minimum security prison camp for males located in a rural area of the southeastern part of Michigan's Lower Peninsula approximately 50 miles from Detroit, was converted into a boot camp. The capacity of this facility was established at 360 beds. In September 1991 Camp Manistique opened in Schoolcraft County with a capacity of 120 beds.

In June, 1993, Camp Sauble and Camp Manistique were converted back into minimum security prison camps and the Cassidy Lake facility became the Department's only SAI facility. The consolidation at the Cassidy Lake facility significantly reduced the per diem cost of placement in the program and facilitated improved internal control of operations. It also assisted in the recruitment and retention of minority staff members, thereby enhancing the Department's efforts to maintain a diverse work force.

In January, 1995, legislation was passed which eliminated the 25 year age limitation for probationers

STATUTORY AUTHORITY AND ELIGIBILITY

The probationer portion of the program is authorized by Public Act 426 of 1994. The prisoner portion of the program is authorized by Public Act 427 of 1994. The eligibility criteria for placement in the program are summarized as follows:

Prisoner:

1. Has never previously been placed in the program as a probationer or prisoner, unless removed for medical reasons;
2. is physically able to participate in the program;
3. has no evidence of a mental handicap which would prevent participation in the program;
4. has not previously served a prison sentence;
5. is serving an indeterminate sentence(s) with a minimum term of 36 months or less or, if serving for Breaking and Entering of an Occupied Dwelling or Home Invasion, a minimum term of 24 months or less;
6. has not been convicted of a crime involving unlawful sexual behavior, arson, a death or a crime in which a life sentence is possible;
7. does not screen very high or potentially very high assault risk;
8. does not have a confinement or management security classification level of level IV or higher;
9. does not have pending felony detainer or a pending felony charge;
10. if serving a sentence for conviction of MCL 333.7401 or MCL 333.7403, must have served his/her statutory minimum if s/he has previously been convicted under either MCL 333.7401 or MCL 333.7403 (2) (a), (b), or (e);
11. if serving a sentence for conviction of MCL 750.227b (Felony Firearm Law) followed by an indeterminate sentence, s/he must have served the two year gun law sentence and have a total minimum term of 36 months or less, including the gun law sentence.

Probationer:

1. Has never served a sentence of imprisonment in a state correctional facility;
2. would likely have been sentenced to prison in a state correctional facility;

3. the felony sentencing guidelines upper limit for the recommended minimum sentence for the offense is 12 months or more unless the offense is not covered by the felony sentencing guidelines or the offender is a probation violator;
4. is physically able to participate in the program;
5. has no evidence of a mental handicap which would prevent participation in the program;
6. has no pending felonies;
7. is not being sentenced for conviction of or the attempt to commit any of the following:

Child Pornography (MCLA 750.145c), Burning Dwelling House (MCLA 750.72),
Burning of Other Real Property (MCLA 750.73), Burning of Insured Property (MCLA 750.75), 1st, 2nd, or 3rd degree Criminal Sexual Conduct (MCLA 750.520 b, c, d) or
Assault With Intent to Commit Criminal Sexual Conduct (MCLA 750.520g);
8. is not being sentenced for a crime for which probation is not available by statute (i.e., murder, treason, armed robbery) or for a major controlled substance offense except in cases where life probation may be imposed.

PROGRAM COST EFFECTIVENESS

During fiscal year 2004, the appropriated per diem cost of the male program was \$78.55 (based upon a population of 360 offenders). The cost of the 90 day program was \$7,070 per offender. Although the daily program cost is higher than the \$57.18 cost of incarcerating a male offender in a level I security prison (including health care costs), the total annual cost of supervising a male offender in the program is significantly less than the \$20,871 cost of supervising him in a level I security prison.

If each of the 503 male prisoners and 727 male probationers who successfully completed the program during 2004 had been confined in a level I security prison for the entire year, the cost of their incarceration would have been \$25,671,330. This exceeds the appropriated cost of operating the male program by \$16,184,690.


During fiscal year 2004, the appropriated per diem cost of the female program was \$78.55 (based upon a population of 360 offenders). The cost of the 90 day program was \$7,070 per offender. Not only is the daily program cost less than the \$79.00 cost of incarcerating a female offender in the Scott Correctional Facility (including health care costs), the total annual cost of supervising a female offender in the program is significantly less than the \$28,835 cost of supervising her in prison.

If each of the 75 prisoners and 28 probationers who successfully completed the program during 2004 had been confined in the Scott Correctional Facility for the entire year, the cost of their incarceration would have been \$2,970,005. This exceeds the appropriated cost of operating the female program by \$2,135,745.

EVENING EDUCATIONAL AND SELF-HELP PROGRAMMING


While enrolled in the program, all 1656 offenders admitted in 2004, participated in classes in substance abuse awareness, life skills, anger management, job-seeking skills, job preparation, interpersonal skills, and current events. Seven hundred sixty two (46.0% of all admissions), earned their high school diploma, or received their GED prior to their admission into SAI. Eight hundred ninety four offenders (54.0% of all admissions) who had not graduated from high school or earned their General Educational Development (GED) Certificate were enrolled in Adult Basic Education (ABE) programming.

As a result of this programming, 342 offenders (57.4% of those completing all mandatory GED test modules) earned their GED Certificates. One hundred seventy seven offenders, who did not have the academic skills necessary to take the GED test as determined by Test of Adult Basic Education (TABE), were enrolled in academic education classes. Program graduates who have completed a portion of the GED test battery are enrolled in adult education programs in the community during the residential aftercare portion of the program.



Trainees take the Test of Adult Basic Education (TABE) during the orientation phase of the boot camp. Those who have not earned a high school diploma or GED certificate and those whose academic skills have fallen below the high school level are enrolled in academic education classes during the evenings.

The evening educational program places responsibility for success upon trainees. In 2004, 57.4% of those who completed the GED test, earned their GED certificates during the 90 day program.



All trainees participate in substance abuse awareness programming. In 2004, 24.8% of the male prisoners, 24.4% of the female prisoners, 27.7% of the male probationers, and 12.9% of the female probationers placed in the program were serving for drug related offenses.

As part of their preparation to become responsible citizens, trainees participate in classes in current events.



SUMMARY OF 2004 MALE PROBATIONER PROGRAM STATISTICS

Male probationer program statistics for 2004 are presented in the attached appendices. These key data are summarized as follows:

Of the 911 probationers enrolled in the program:

1. 446 (49.0%) were African-American
2. 449 (49.3%) were Caucasian
3. 8 (0.9%) were Hispanic
4. 8 (0.9%) were of other races

Probationers sentenced in 52 counties enrolled in the program.

Probationer age at sentencing ranged from 16 years to 52 years, with the 17-22 year age group comprising 62.3% of all admissions.

As of December 31, 2004, 178 male probationers were enrolled in the program.

Of the 827 probationers who either completed or were terminated from the program:

1. 727 (87.9%) successfully completed the program
2. 43 (5.2%) voluntarily withdrew
3. 57 (6.9%) were terminated as rule violators

Eighty one probationers were terminated for medical reasons, and 21 probationers were terminated as unqualified.

Of the 727 probationers who successfully completed the program in 2004:

1. 649 (89.3%) are on probation or have completed probation
2. 64 (8.8%) have been re-sentenced to prison as probation violators
3. 12 (1.7%) have been re-sentenced to prison as probation violators with a new convictions
4. 2 (0.3%) have been sentenced to prison for crimes committed after completing probation

The Sentencing Guidelines for the 911 male probationers enrolled into the program are as follows:

Imprisonment Cell : Any cell in which the minimum of the cell range is more than 12 months. 55 (6.0%)

Straddle Cell: Any cell in which the maximum of the range exceeds 18 months and the minimum of the range is 12 months or less. 217 (23.8%)

Intermediate Sanction Cell: Special Alternative Incarceration is among those options given under Intermediate Sanction. 153 (16.8%)

Probation Violation: Is not governed by sentencing guidelines. The sentence for a probation violation is left to the judge's discretion. 475 (52.1%)

No Guidelines: Crimes with no numerical score. 11 (1.2%)

SUMMARY OF 2004 FEMALE PROBATIONER PROGRAM STATISTICS

Female probationer program statistics for 2004 are presented in the attached appendices. These key data are summarized as follows:

Of the 32 probationers enrolled in the program:

1. 16 (50.0%) were African-American
2. 15 (46.9%) were Caucasian
3. 0 (0.0%) were Hispanic
4. 1 (3.1%) were of other races

Probationers sentenced in 15 counties enrolled in the program.

Probationer age at sentencing ranged from 19 years to 43 years, with the 17-22 year age group comprising 41.4% of all admissions.

As of December 31, 2004, 11 female probationers were enrolled in the program.

Of the 29 probationers who either completed or were terminated from the program:

1. 28 (96.6%) successfully completed the program
2. 0 (0.0%) voluntarily withdrew
3. 1 (3.4%) were terminated as rule violators

Two probationers were terminated for medical reasons, and 1 probationer was terminated as unqualified.

Of the 28 probationers who successfully completed the program in 2004:

1. 24 (85.7%) are on probation or have completed probation
2. 4 (14.3%) have been re-sentenced to prison as probation violators
3. 0 (0.0%) have been re-sentenced to prison as probation violators with a new convictions
4. 0 (0.0%) have been sentenced to prison for crimes committed after completing probation

The Sentencing Guidelines for the 31 female probationers enrolled into the program are as follows:

Imprisonment Cell	1 (3.2%)
Straddle Cell	6 (19.4%)
Intermediate sanction Cell	6 (19.4%)
Probation Violations	18 (58.1%)
No Guidelines	0 (0.0%)

SUMMARY OF 2004 MALE PRISONER PROGRAM STATISTICS

Male prisoner program statistics for 2004 are presented in the attached appendices. These key data are summarized as follows:

Of the 636 prisoners enrolled in the program:

1. 197 (31.0%) were African-American
2. 420 (66.0%) were Caucasian
3. 8 (1.3%) were Hispanic
4. 11 (1.7%) were of other races

Prisoners sentenced in 72 counties enrolled in the program.

Prisoner age at sentencing ranged from 16 years to 54 years, with the 17-22 year age group comprising 34.7% of all admissions.

As of December 31, 2004, 110 prisoners were enrolled in the program.

Of the 567 prisoners who either completed or were terminated from the program:

1. 503 (88.7%) successfully completed the program
2. 48 (8.5%) voluntarily withdrew
3. 16 (2.8%) were terminated as rule violators

Thirty eight prisoners were terminated for medical reasons, and 13 prisoners were terminated as unqualified.

Of the 503 prisoners who successfully completed the program in 2004:

1. 457 (90.09%) are currently on parole, or have discharged from parole.
2. 29 (5.8%) have returned to prison as parole violators
3. 4 (0.8%) have re-paroled after being returned as parole violators
4. 13 (2.6%) have returned to prison as parole violators with new convictions

SUMMARY OF 2004 FEMALE PRISONER PROGRAM STATISTICS

Female prisoner program statistics for 2004 are presented in the attached appendices. These key data are summarized as follows:

Of the 86 prisoners enrolled in the program:

1. 25 (29.1%) were African-American
2. 60 (69.8%) were Caucasian
3. 1 (1.2%) were Hispanic
4. 0 (0.0%) were of other races

Prisoners sentenced in 33 counties enrolled in the program.

Prisoner age at sentencing ranged from 19 years to 56 years, with the 17-22 year age group comprising 10.3% of all admissions.

As of December 31, 2004, 7 female prisoners were enrolled in the program.

Of the 77 female prisoners who either completed or were terminated from the program:

1. 75 (97.4%) successfully completed the program
2. 1 (1.3%) voluntarily withdrew
3. 1 (1.3%) was terminated as a rule violator

Eight female prisoners were terminated for medical reasons, and 1 female prisoner was terminated as unqualified.

Of the 75 female prisoners who successfully completed the program in 2004:

1. 1 (1.3%) has been discharged from parole.
2. 66 (88.0%) are currently on parole, or have discharged from parole.
3. 6 (8.0%) have returned to prison as parole violators
4. 2 (2.7%) have re-paroled after being returned as parole violators
5. 0 (0.0%) have returned to prison as parole violators with new convictions

PROBATIONER ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE TYPE

The sentence for each of the 911 male and 32 female probationers who entered the program during 2004 was used for the groupings listed below. For probationers serving more than one sentence, the sentence entered into the database first is listed.

Each of the following offense type groupings contains offenses which are similar in nature. For example, the "Fraud" category contains all cases involving financial transactions where trickery or deceit was an element of the crime.

OFFENSE TYPE	PERCENT OF TOTAL	
	Males	Females
1. Breaking & Entering	22.8%	16.1%
2. Drug Offenses	27.7%	12.9%
3. Larceny	11.5%	6.5%
4. Assault	11.1%	9.7%
5. Unauthorized Driving	9.7%	6.5%
6. Fraud	3.2%	29.0%
7. Weapons	4.3%	0.0%
8. Robbery	4.1%	9.7%
9. Miscellaneous	2.7%	9.7%
10. Larceny From Persons	3.0%	0.0%

PRISONER ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE TYPE

The controlling sentence for each of the 636 male and 86 female prisoners who entered the program during 2004 was used for the groupings listed below. For prisoners serving more than one sentence, the sentence with the longest minimum term is the controlling sentence.

Each of the following offense type groupings contains offenses which are similar in nature. For example, the "Fraud" category contains all cases involving financial transactions where trickery or deceit was an element of the crime.

OFFENSE TYPE	PERCENT OF TOTAL	
	Males	Females
1. Drug Offenses	24.8%	24.4%
2. Breaking & Entering	23.0%	6.4%
3. Assault	10.2%	11.5%
4. Unauthorized driving	15.6%	9.0%
5. Robbery	4.1%	6.4%
6. Larceny	8.0%	9.0%
7. Fraud	6.3%	28.2%
8. Weapons	4.1%	0.0%
9. Miscellaneous	2.2%	3.5%
10. Larceny From Persons	1.6%	1.3%

Appendix A - 2004 ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY –PROBATIONER

MALES			FEMALES		
Sentencing County	Number of Admissions	% of Total Admissions	Sentencing County	Number of Admissions	% of Total Admissions
Alcona	2	0.2%	Alcona	0	0.0%
Alger	0	0.0%	Alger	0	0.0%
Allegan	0	0.2%	Allegan	0	0.0%
Alpena	0	0.3%	Alpena	0	0.0%
Antrim	0	0.0%	Antrim	0	0.0%
Arenac	2	0.2%	Arenac	0	0.0%
Baraga	0	0.0%	Baraga	0	0.0%
Barry	0	0.1%	Barry	0	0.0%
Bay	13	1.4%	Bay	1	3.2%
Benzie	0	0.0%	Benzie	0	0.0%
Berrien	29	3.2%	Berrien	1	3.2%
Branch	2	0.2%	Branch	0	0.0%
Calhoun	5	0.5%	Calhoun	0	0.0%
Cass	1	0.1%	Cass	1	3.2%
Charleviox	0	0.0%	Charleviox	0	0.0%
Cheboygan	1	0.1%	Cheboygan	0	0.0%
Chippewa	0	0.0%	Chippewa	0	0.0%
Clare	0	0.0%	Clare	0	0.0%
Clinton	5	0.5%	Clinton	0	0.0%
Crawford	1	0.1%	Crawford	0	0.0%
Delta	0	0.0%	Delta	0	0.0%
Dickinson	0	0.0%	Dickinson	0	0.0%
Eaton	13	1.4%	Eaton	0	0.0%
Emmet	0	0.0%	Emmet	0	0.0%
Genesee	102	11.2%	Genesee	3	9.7%
Gladwin	3	0.3%	Gladwin	0	0.0%
Gogebic	0	0.0%	Gogebic	0	0.0%
Grand Traverse	6	0.7%	Grand Traverse	0	0.0%
Gratiot	1	0.1%	Gratiot	0	0.0%
Hillsdale	2	0.2%	Hillsdale	0	0.0%
Houghton	0	0.0%	Houghton	0	0.0%
Huron	0	0.0%	Huron	0	0.0%
Ingham	20	2.2%	Ingham	0	0.0%
Ionia	5	0.5%	Ionia	2	6.5%
Iosco	0	0.0%	Iosco	0	0.0%
Iron	0	0.0%	Iron	0	0.0%
Isabella	6	0.7%	Isabella	1	3.2%
Jackson	21	2.3%	Jackson	1	3.2%
Kalamazoo	43	4.7%	Kalamazoo	2	6.5%
Kalkaska	0	0.0%	Kalkaska	0	0.0%
Kent	48	5.3%	Kent	0	0.0%
Keweenaw	0	0.0%	Keweenaw	0	0.0%
Lake	5	0.5%	Lake	0	0.0%
Lapeer	6	0.7%	Lapeer	0	0.0%

Appendix A - 2004 ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY –PROBATIONER – Cont.

MALES			FEMALES		
Sentencing County	Number of Admissions	% of Total Admissions	Sentencing County	Number of Admissions	% of Total Admissions
Leelanau	2	0.2%	Leelanau	0	0.0%
Lenawee	3	0.3%	Lenawee	0	0.0%
Livingston	16	1.8%	Livingston	0	0.0%
Luce	0	0.0%	Luce	0	0.0%
Mackinac	0	0.0%	Mackinac	0	0.0%
Macomb	73	8.0%	Macomb	1	3.2%
Manistee	1	0.1%	Manistee	0	0.0%
Marquette	0	0.0%	Marquette	0	0.0%
Mason	3	0.3%	Mason	0	0.0%
Mecosta	1	0.1%	Mecosta	0	0.0%
Menominee	1	0.1%	Menominee	0	0.0%
Midland	1	0.1%	Midland	0	0.0%
Missaukee	0	0.0%	Missaukee	0	0.0%
Monroe	8	0.9%	Monroe	0	0.0%
Montcalm	4	0.4%	Montcalm	0	0.0%
Montmorency	4	0.4%	Montmorency	0	0.0%
Muskegon	41	4.5%	Muskegon	5	16.1%
Newaygo	1	0.1%	Newaygo	0	0.0%
Oakland	47	5.2%	Oakland	2	6.5%
Oceana	2	0.2%	Oceana	1	3.2%
Ogemaw	1	0.1%	Ogemaw	0	0.0%
Ontonagon	0	0.0%	Ontonagon	0	0.0%
Osceola	4	0.4%	Osceola	0	0.0%
Oscoda	0	0.0%	Oscoda	0	0.0%
Otsego	1	0.1%	Otsego	0	0.0%
Ottawa	7	0.8%	Ottawa	0	0.0%
Presque Isle	0	0.1%	Presque Isle	0	0.0%
Roscommon	2	0.2%	Roscommon	0	0.0%
Saginaw	41	4.5%	Saginaw	1	3.2%
St. Clair	31	3.4%	St. Clair	0	0.0%
St. Joseph	0	0.0%	St. Joseph	0	0.0%
Sanilac	2	0.2%	Sanilac	0	0.0%
Schoolcraft	0	0.0%	Schoolcraft	0	0.0%
Shiawassee	8	0.9%	Shiawassee	0	0.0%
Tuscola	0	0.6%	Tuscola	0	0.0%
Van Buren	5	0.5%	Van Buren	0	0.0%
Washtenaw	52	5.7%	Washtenaw	4	12.9%
Wayne	207	22.7%	Wayne	5	16.1%
Wexford	0	0.0%	Wexford	0	0.0%
Totals	911	100.0%		32	100.0%


Appendix B - 2004 ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY –PRISONER

MALES			FEMALES		
Sentencing County	Number of Admissions	% of Total Admissions	Sentencing County	Number of Admissions	% of Total Admissions
Alcona	1	0.2%	Alcona	0	0.0%
Alger	1	0.2%	Alger	0	0.0%
Allegan	13	2.0%	Allegan	1	1.2%
Alpena	5	0.8%	Alpena	0	0.0%
Antrim	3	0.5%	Antrim	0	0.0%
Arenac	0	0.0%	Arenac	0	0.0%
Baraga	1	0.2%	Baraga	0	0.0%
Barry	5	0.8%	Barry	0	0.0%
Bay	13	2.0%	Bay	1	1.2%
Benzie	3	0.5%	Benzie	0	0.0%
Berrien	26	4.1%	Berrien	5	5.8%
Branch	1	0.2%	Branch	0	0.0%
Calhoun	24	3.8%	Calhoun	1	1.2%
Cass	2	0.3%	Cass	0	0.0%
Charlevoix	1	0.2%	Charlevoix	1	1.2%
Cheboygan	1	0.2%	Cheboygan	0	0.0%
Chippewa	1	0.2%	Chippewa	0	0.0%
Clare	1	0.2%	Clare	0	0.0%
Clinton	4	0.6%	Clinton	0	0.0%
Crawford	2	0.3%	Crawford	0	0.0%
Delta	0	0.0%	Delta	0	0.0%
Dickinson	3	0.5%	Dickinson	0	0.0%
Eaton	8	1.3%	Eaton	0	0.0%
Emmet	3	0.5%	Emmet	1	1.2%
Genesee	44	6.9%	Genesee	11	12.8%
Gladwin	0	0.0%	Gladwin	0	0.0%
Gogebic	1	0.2%	Gogebic	0	0.0%
Grand Traverse	18	2.8%	Grand Traverse	0	0.0%
Gratiot	6	0.9%	Gratiot	1	1.2%
Hillsdale	20	3.1%	Hillsdale	3	3.5%
Houghton	0	0.0%	Houghton	0	0.0%
Huron	0	0.0%	Huron	0	0.0%
Ingham	15	2.4%	Ingham	2	2.3%
Ionia	5	0.8%	Ionia	1	1.2%
Iosco	0	0.0%	Iosco	0	0.0%
Iron	0	0.0%	Iron	0	0.0%
Isabella	4	0.6%	Isabella	2	2.3%
Jackson	27	4.2%	Jackson	10	11.6%
Kalamazoo	13	2.0%	Kalamazoo	1	1.2%
Kalkaska	3	0.5%	Kalkaska	0	0.0%
Kent	60	9.4%	Kent	8	9.3%
Keweenaw	0	0.0%	Keweenaw	0	0.0%
Lake	1	0.2%	Lake	0	0.0%
Lapeer	2	0.3%	Lapeer	0	0.0%


Appendix B - 2004 ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY –PRISONER – Cont.

MALES			FEMALES		
Sentencing County	Number of Admissions	% of Total Admissions	Sentencing County	Number of Admissions	% of Total Admissions
Leelanau	2	0.3%	Leelanau	0	0.0%
Lenawee	12	1.9%	Lenawee	5	5.8%
Livingston	8	1.3%	Livingston	1	1.2%
Luce	4	0.6%	Luce	0	0.0%
Mackinac	1	0.2%	Mackinac	0	0.0%
Macomb	33	5.2%	Macomb	5	5.8%
Manistee	5	0.8%	Manistee	1	1.2%
Marquette	1	0.2%	Marquette	0	0.0%
Mason	1	0.2%	Mason	0	0.0%
Mecosta	3	0.5%	Mecosta	0	0.0%
Menominee	1	0.2%	Menominee	0	0.0%
Midland	1	0.2%	Midland	0	0.0%
Missaukee	2	0.3%	Missaukee	0	0.0%
Monroe	13	2.0%	Monroe	1	1.2%
Montcalm	13	2.0%	Montcalm	1	1.2%
Montmorency	0	0.0%	Montmorency	0	0.0%
Muskegon	6	0.9%	Muskegon	1	1.2%
Newaygo	5	0.8%	Newaygo	1	1.2%
Oakland	51	8.0%	Oakland	5	5.8%
Oceana	1	0.2%	Oceana	0	0.0%
Ogemaw	0	0.0%	Ogemaw	0	0.0%
Ontonagon	0	0.0%	Ontonagon	0	0.0%
Osceola	1	0.2%	Osceola	1	1.2%
Oscoda	1	0.2%	Oscoda	0	0.0%
Otsego	2	0.3%	Otsego	0	0.0%
Ottawa	4	0.6%	Ottawa	2	2.3%
Presque Isle	3	0.5%	Presque Isle	1	1.2%
Roscommon	5	0.8%	Roscommon	0	0.0%
Saginaw	6	0.9%	Saginaw	0	0.0%
St. Clair	5	0.8%	St. Clair	1	1.2%
St. Joseph	5	0.8%	St. Joseph	0	0.0%
Sanilac	3	0.5%	Sanilac	0	0.0%
Schoolcraft	1	0.2%	Schoolcraft	0	0.0%
Shiawassee	3	0.5%	Shiawassee	1	1.2%
Tuscola	3	0.5%	Tuscola	0	0.0%
Van Buren	12	1.9%	Van Buren	1	1.2%
Washtenaw	16	2.5%	Washtenaw	1	1.2%
Wayne	57	9.0%	Wayne	7	8.1%
Wexford	5	0.8%	Wexford	1	1.2%
Totals	636	100.0%		86	100.0%


2004 ADMISSIONS BY MONTH



2004 ADMISSIONS BY SEX



2004 MONTHLY POPULATION TOTALS



Appendix E -

Appendix F - 2004 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – MALE PROBATIONER

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
Alcona	1	0	0	0	0	1
Alger	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alpena	1	0	0	0	0	1
Antrim	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arenac	2	0	0	0	0	2
Baraga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Barry	1	0	0	0	0	1
Bay	15	0	0	0	0	15
Benzie	1	0	0	0	0	1
Berrien	28	1	2	1	0	32
Branch	2	0	0	0	0	2
Calhoun	5	0	0	0	0	5
Cass	1	0	0	0	0	1
Charlevoix	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cheboygan	1	0	0	0	1	2
Chippewa	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clare	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	7	0	1	0	0	8
Crawford	1	0	0	0	0	1
Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dickinson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eaton	7	1	1	2	0	11
Emmet	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	64	15	3	10	4	96
Gladwin	3	0	0	0	0	3
Gogebic	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Traverse	1	0	1	2	0	4
Gratiot	1	0	0	0	0	1
Hillsdale	2	0	0	0	0	2
Houghton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Huron	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ingham	16	1	2	3	0	22
Ionia	3	0	0	0	0	3
Iosco	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iron	0	0	0	0	0	0
Isabella	7	0	0	0	0	7
Jackson	16	0	0	2	0	18
Kalamazoo	33	2	4	1	3	43
Kalkaska	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	36	3	1	7	1	48
Keweenaw	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	5	0	0	1	0	6
Lapeer	4	0	0	0	0	4

**Appendix F – 2004 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – MALE
PROBATIONER –Cont.**

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
Leelanau	2	0	0	0	0	2
Lenawee	5	0	0	0	0	5
Livingston	10	0	2	0	0	12
Luce	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mackinac	0	0	0	0	0	0
Macomb	60	4	2	9	1	76
Manistee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mason	3	0	0	0	0	3
Mecosta	1	0	0	0	0	1
Menominee	0	1	0	0	0	1
Midland	1	0	0	0	0	1
Missaukee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	12	0	0	1	0	13
Montcalm	2	0	1	0	0	3
Montmorency	2	0	0	1	0	3
Muskegon	28	1	1	2	0	32
Newaygo	0	1	0	0	0	1
Oakland	45	2	4	4	3	58
Oceana	2	0	0	0	0	2
Ogemaw	1	0	0	0	0	1
Ontonagon	0	0	0	0	0	0
Osceola	1	0	0	1	0	2
Oscoda	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	2	0	0	0	0	2
Ottawa	6	1	0	0	0	7
Presque Isle	0	0	0	0	0	0
Roscommon	2	0	0	0	0	2
Saginaw	34	6	1	3	1	45
St. Clair	24	1	1	1	0	27
St. Joseph	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sanilac	2	0	0	0	0	2
Schoolcraft	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shiawassee	8	0	1	1	0	10
Tuscola	1	0	0	0	0	1
Van Buren	4	1	0	1	0	6
Washtenaw	41	1	3	4	1	50
Wayne	164	15	12	24	6	221
Wexford	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	727	57	43	81	21	929

Appendix F -2004 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – FEMALE PROBATIONER

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
Alcona	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alger	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alpena	0	0	0	0	0	0
Antrim	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arenac	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baraga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Barry	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bay	1	0	0	0	0	1
Benzie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Berrien	1	0	0	0	0	1
Branch	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calhoun	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cass	1	0	0	0	0	1
Charlevoix	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cheboygan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chippewa	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clare	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crawford	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dickinson	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eaton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Emmet	0	0	0	0	0	0
Genesee	3	0	0	0	0	3
Gladwin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gogebic	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Traverse	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gratiot	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hillsdale	0	0	0	0	0	0
Houghton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Huron	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ingham	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ionia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iosco	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iron	0	0	0	0	0	0
Isabella	0	0	0	1	0	1
Jackson	1	0	0	0	0	1
Kalamazoo	2	0	0	0	0	2
Kalkaska	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	1	0	0	0	0	1
Keweenaw	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lapeer	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Appendix F - 2004 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – FEMALE
PROBATIONER – Cont.**

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
Leelanau	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lenawee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	0	0	0	0	0
Luce	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mackinac	0	0	0	0	0	0
Macomb	3	0	0	0	0	3
Manistee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mason	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mecosta	0	0	0	0	0	0
Menominee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Midland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missaukee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	1	0	0	0	0	1
Montcalm	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montmorency	0	0	0	0	0	0
Muskegon	2	0	0	1	1	4
Newaygo	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oakland	2	0	0	0	0	2
Oceana	1	0	0	0	0	1
Ogemaw	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontonagon	0	0	0	0	0	0
Osceola	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oscoda	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ottawa	1	0	0	0	0	1
Presque Isle	0	0	0	0	0	0
Roscommon	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saginaw	0	1	0	0	0	1
St. Clair	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Joseph	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sanilac	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoolcraft	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shiawassee	1	0	0	0	0	1
Tuscola	0	0	0	0	0	0
Van Buren	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washtenaw	4	0	0	0	0	4
Wayne	3	0	0	0	0	3
Wexford	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	28	1	0	2	1	32

Appendix G -2004 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – MALE PRISONER

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
Alcona	0	0	1	0	0	1
Alger	2	0	0	0	0	2
Allegan	7	1	2	0	0	10
Alpena	4	0	0	0	0	4
Antrim	2	0	1	0	0	3
Arenac	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baraga	1	0	0	0	0	1
Barry	4	0	1	0	0	5
Bay	14	0	0	0	0	14
Benzie	1	0	0	0	0	1
Berrien	32	0	0	1	1	34
Branch	1	0	0	0	0	1
Calhoun	19	1	0	1	0	21
Cass	0	0	0	0	0	0
Charlevoix	2	0	0	0	0	2
Cheboygan	0	0	1	1	0	2
Chippewa	1	0	0	0	0	1
Clare	1	0	0	0	0	1
Clinton	4	0	1	0	0	5
Crawford	2	0	0	0	0	2
Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dickinson	3	0	0	0	0	3
Eaton	4	2	0	1	0	7
Emmet	1	0	0	0	0	1
Genesee	36	1	5	5	1	48
Gladwin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gogebic	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Traverse	16	0	0	0	1	17
Gratiot	7	0	0	0	0	7
Hillsdale	19	0	1	1	0	21
Houghton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Huron	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ingham	10	0	2	2	0	14
Ionia	5	0	0	0	0	5
Iosco	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iron	1	0	0	0	0	1
Isabella	3	0	2	0	0	5
Jackson	21	1	2	3	1	28
Kalamazoo	11	0	0	0	3	14
Kalkaska	3	0	0	0	0	3
Kent	38	0	6	6	0	50
Keweenaw	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	0	0	1	0	0	1
Lapeer	1	0	0	0	0	1

**Appendix G - 2004 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – MALE
PRISONER – Cont.**

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
Leelanau	3	0	0	0	0	3
Lenawee	9	0	0	0	0	9
Livingston	4	0	0	0	1	5
Luce	2	0	0	0	0	2
Mackinac	1	0	0	0	0	1
Macomb	29	0	5	1	0	35
Manistee	4	0	0	1	0	5
Marquette	1	0	0	0	0	1
Mason	1	0	0	0	0	1
Mecosta	3	0	1	1	0	5
Menominee	1	0	0	0	0	1
Midland	1	0	0	0	0	1
Missaukee	0	0	1	1	0	2
Monroe	10	0	0	2	0	12
Montcalm	11	0	0	3	0	14
Montmorency	0	0	0	0	0	0
Muskegon	6	0	0	1	0	7
Newaygo	3	0	0	0	0	3
Oakland	43	1	2	0	1	47
Oceana	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ogemaw	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontonagon	0	0	0	0	0	0
Osceola	0	0	1	0	0	1
Oscoda	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ottawa	6	0	0	1	0	7
Presque Isle	2	1	0	0	0	3
Roscommon	2	0	0	1	1	4
Saginaw	6	0	0	0	0	6
St. Clair	7	0	0	0	0	7
St. Joseph	0	0	1	1	0	2
Sanilac	2	0	1	0	0	3
Schoolcraft	1	0	0	0	0	1
Shiawassee	4	0	0	0	0	4
Tuscola	4	0	1	0	0	5
Van Buren	6	1	2	0	0	9
Washtenaw	8	1	2	0	0	11
Wayne	44	6	3	4	3	60
Wexford	3	0	2	0	0	5
TOTAL	503	16	48	38	13	618

Appendix G -2004 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – FEMALE PRISONER

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
Alcona	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alger	0	0	0	0	0	0
Allegan	0	0	0	1	0	1
Alpena	0	0	0	0	0	0
Antrim	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arenac	0	0	0	0	0	0
Baraga	0	0	0	0	0	0
Barry	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bay	1	0	0	0	0	1
Benzie	0	0	0	0	0	0
Berrien	4	0	0	0	0	4
Branch	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calhoun	1	0	0	0	0	1
Cass	1	0	0	0	0	1
Charlevoix	1	0	0	0	0	1
Cheboygan	0	0	0	0	0	0
Chippewa	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clare	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crawford	0	0	0	0	0	0
Delta	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dickinson	0	0	0	1	0	1
Eaton	1	0	0	0	0	1
Emmet	1	0	0	0	0	1
Genesee	7	0	0	2	0	9
Gladwin	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gogebic	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grand Traverse	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gratiot	1	0	0	0	0	1
Hillsdale	3	0	0	0	0	3
Houghton	0	0	0	0	0	0
Huron	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ingham	2	0	0	0	0	2
Ionia	1	0	0	0	0	1
Iosco	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iron	0	0	0	0	0	0
Isabella	2	0	0	0	0	2
Jackson	9	0	0	1	0	10
Kalamazoo	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kalkaska	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kent	6	1	1	1	0	9
Keweenaw	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lapeer	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Appendix G - 2004 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – FEMALE
PRISONER – Cont.**

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
Leelanau	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lenawee	5	0	0	0	0	5
Livingston	1	0	0	0	0	1
Luce	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mackinac	0	0	0	0	0	0
Macomb	4	0	0	0	0	4
Manistee	1	0	0	0	0	1
Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mason	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mecosta	0	0	0	0	0	0
Menominee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Midland	0	0	0	0	0	0
Missaukee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe	1	0	0	0	0	1
Montcalm	1	0	0	0	0	1
Montmorency	0	0	0	0	0	0
Muskegon	4	0	0	0	0	4
Newaygo	1	0	0	0	0	1
Oakland	1	0	0	0	1	2
Oceana	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ogemaw	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ontonagon	0	0	0	0	0	0
Osceola	1	0	0	0	0	1
Oscoda	0	0	0	0	0	0
Otsego	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ottawa	1	0	0	0	0	1
Presque Isle	1	0	0	0	0	1
Roscommon	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saginaw	1	0	0	0	0	1
St. Clair	1	0	0	0	0	1
St. Joseph	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sanilac	0	0	0	0	0	0
Schoolcraft	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shiawassee	1	0	0	0	0	1
Tuscola	0	0	0	0	0	0
Van Buren	3	0	0	0	0	3
Washtenaw	1	0	0	0	0	1
Wayne	4	0	0	0	0	4
Wexford	1	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	75	1	1	8	1	86

Appendix H -2004 MONTHLY PROGRAM OUTCOMES – PRISONER

MALE

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
JANUARY	48	2	4	3	0	57
FEBRUARY	28	0	7	2	1	38
MARCH	21	4	11	4	2	42
APRIL	37	1	1	3	1	43
MAY	48	2	4	2	1	57
JUNE	57	0	4	5	0	66
JULY	41	1	1	4	2	49
AUGUST	60	0	3	1	0	64
SEPTEMBER	41	3	0	5	0	49
OCTOBER	22	0	4	2	2	30
NOVEMBER	69	2	3	5	1	80
DECEMBER	31	1	6	2	3	43
TOTAL	503	16	48	38	13	618

FEMALE

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
JANUARY	7	0	0	1	0	8
FEBRUARY	2	0	1	1	0	4
MARCH	4	0	0	0	0	4
APRIL	14	0	0	0	0	14
MAY	9	0	0	1	0	10
JUNE	8	0	0	0	0	8
JULY	8	0	0	1	0	9
AUGUST	8	0	0	0	0	8
SEPTEMBER	2	0	0	1	0	03
OCTOBER	2	0	0	1	1	4
NOVEMBER	7	0	0	1	0	8
DECEMBER	4	1	0	1	0	6
TOTAL	75	1	1	8	1	86

Appendix I -2004 MONTHLY PROGRAM OUTCOMES – PROBATIONER


MALE

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
JANUARY	74	4	3	2	1	84
FEBRUARY	61	8	4	3	1	77
MARCH	38	9	9	6	1	63
APRIL	80	7	3	7	4	101
MAY	60	2	4	5	2	73
JUNE	75	5	3	9	1	93
JULY	71	2	2	4	1	80
AUGUST	70	4	4	7	4	89
SEPTEMBER	46	6	3	17	3	75
OCTOBER	60	5	4	6	0	75
NOVEMBER	53	3	2	10	3	71
DECEMBER	39	2	2	5	0	48
TOTAL	727	57	43	81	21	929

FEMALE

	Successful Completion	Rule Violator	Voluntary Withdrawal	Medical Termination	Unqualified	Totals
JANUARY	2	0	0	0	0	2
FEBRUARY	3	1	0	0	0	4
MARCH	3	0	0	0	0	3
APRIL	3	0	0	0	0	3
MAY	3	0	0	0	0	2
JUNE	2	0	0	0	0	1
JULY	3	0	0	1	0	4
AUGUST	2	0	0	0	0	2
SEPTEMBER	3	0	0	1	0	4
OCTOBER	0	0	0	0	1	1
NOVEMBER	3	0	0	0	0	3
DECEMBER	1	0	0	0	0	1
TOTAL	28	1	0	2	1	32

2004 GRADUATES BY SEX



COMPARATIVE PROGRAM OUTCOMES – MALES 2004 AND 2003

	Prisoners		Probationers	
	2004	2003	2004	2003
<u>Terminations</u>				
Successful Completions	503 (88.7%)	504 (87.0%)	727 (87.9%)	832 (86.9%)
Voluntary Withdrawals	48 (8.5%)	51 (8.8%)	43 (5.2%)	75 (7.8%)
Rule Violators	16 (2.8%)	24 (4.2%)	57 (6.9%)	50 (5.2%)
Total	567	579	827	957
<u>Unqualified</u>				
Medical Terminations	38	30	81	55
Unqualified by statute	13	8	21	12
Total Program Exits	618	617	929	1024

COMPARATIVE PROGRAM OUTCOMES – FEMALES 2004 AND 2003

	Prisoners		Probationers	
	2004	2003	2004	2003
<u>Terminations</u>				
Successful Completions	75 (97.4%)	29 (82.8%)	28 (96.6%)	26 (86.7%)
Voluntary Withdrawals	1 (1.3%)	5 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (6.7%)
Rule Violators	1 (1.3%)	1 (2.9%)	1 (3.4%)	2 (6.7%)
Total	77	35	29	30
<u>Unqualified</u>				
Medical Terminations	8	12	2	8
Unqualified by statute	1	2	1	0
Total Program Exits	86	49	32	38

CURRENT STATUS OF FEMALE PRISONER PROGRAM GRADUATES

(As of 1/29 /2005)

The current status of the 178 female prisoners who successfully completed the program between January 1, 2000, and December 31, 2004, is as follows:

FEMALES	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Successful Completions	29	15	30	29	75
Completed Parole	18 (62.1%)	12 (80.0%)	19 (63.3%)	7 (24.1%)	1 (1.3%)
Currently on Parole *	4 (13.8%)	1 (6.7%)	4 (13.3%)	18 (62.1%)	66 (88.0%)
Returned to Prison as Parole Violators	3 (10.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (6.9%)	6 (8.0%)
Re-paroled After Being Returned as Parole Violators	3 (10.3%)	1 (6.7%)	6 (20.0%)	1 (3.5%)	2 (2.7%)
Returned to Prison With New Felony Convictions					
A. As Parole Violators With New Convictions	1 (3.5%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.5%)	0 (0.0%)
B. With Convictions After Completing Parole	0 (0.0%)	1 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

* Includes parolees on absconder status.

CURRENT STATUS OF MALE PRISONER PROGRAM GRADUATES

(As of 1/29 /2005)

The current status of the 2309 male prisoners who successfully completed the program between January 1, 2000, and December 31, 2004, is as follows:

MALES	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Successful Completions	408	397	497	504	503
Completed Parole	232 (56.9%)	225 (56.7%)	260 (52.3%)	262 (52.0%)	2 (0.4%)
Currently on Parole *	11 (2.7%)	36 (9.1%)	74 (14.8%)	112 (22.2%)	455 (90.5%)
Returned to Prison as Parole Violators	22 (5.4%)	23 (5.8%)	39 (7.8%)	21 (4.2%)	29 (5.8%)
Re-paroled After Being Returned as Parole Violators	29 (7.1%)	37 (9.3%)	35 (7.0%)	56 (11.1%)	4 (0.8%)
Returned to Prison With New Felony Convictions					
A. As Parole Violators With New Convictions	88 (21.6%)	64 (16.1%)	87 (17.5%)	52 (10.3%)	13 (2.6%)
B. With Convictions After Completing Parole	26 (6.4%)	12 (3.0%)	2 (0.4%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)

* Includes parolees on absconder status.

Appendix L

COMPARATIVE STATUS OF PROBATIONER GRADUATES 2004 AND 2003

MALES	2004	2003	FEMALES	2004	2003
On probation or have completed probation	649 (89.3%)	612 (73.6%)	On probation or have completed probation	24 (85.7%)	22 (84.6%)
Re-Sentenced to prison as probation violators	64 (8.8%)	154 (18.5%)	Re-Sentenced to prison as probation violators	4 (14.3%)	4 (15.4%)
Re-sentenced to prison for crimes committed after completing SAI	14 (2.0%)	66 (7.9%)	Re-sentenced to prison for crimes committed after completing SAI	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Total	727	832	Total	28	26